The information charged also that another article known as *C. M. A. Formula #21 tablets* was misbranded under the provisions of the law applicable to foods, as reported in notices of judgment on foods.

DISPOSITION: July 20, 1951. Pleas of not guilty having been entered, the case came on for trial before the court without a jury on July 16, 1951. At the conclusion of the trial on July 20, 1951, the court rendered a verdict of guilty on all counts and fined the corporation $800, Robert E. Davis $1,000, and Carrie Davis $400. In addition, Robert E. Davis and Carrie Davis were each sentenced to 2 years in prison. The prison sentences were suspended, however, and each individual defendant was placed on probation for 3 years, conditioned that each would not violate Federal or State food and drug laws or the State Medical Practices Act.

3584. Adulteration and misbranding of dextro-amphetamine sulfate tablets. U. S. v. 5 Bottles * * *. (F. D. C. No. 31381. Sample No. 3111–L.)

LIBEL FILED: July 31, 1951, District of Columbia.

ALLEGED SHIPMENT: On or about April 12, 1951, by the Kumfort Drug Products Co., from Cleveland, Ohio.

PRODUCT: 5 unlabeled bottles each containing 1,000 tablets represented as 5 milligram *dextro-amphetamine sulfate tablets.* Examination showed that each tablet contained approximately 4.25 milligrams of amphetamine sulfate.

RESULTS OF INVESTIGATION: The product was shipped in response to an oral order given by the consignee to a representative of the shipper for 5 milligram *dextro-amphetamine sulfate tablets.*

NATURE OF CHARGE: Adulteration, Section 501 (d) (2), a substance, namely, 4.25 milligram amphetamine sulfate tablets, had been substituted for 5 milligram *dextro-amphetamine sulfate tablets.*

Misbranding, Section 502 (i) (2), the article was an imitation of another drug; Section 502 (i) (3), it was offered for sale under the name of another drug; Sections 502 (b) (1) and (2), it failed to bear a label containing the name and place of business of the manufacturer, packer, or distributor, and an accurate statement of the quantity of the contents; Section 502 (e) (1), the label of the article failed to bear the common or usual name of the drug, namely, amphetamine sulfate tablets; Section 502 (f) (1), the labeling of the article failed to bear adequate directions for use; and Section 502 (f) (2), the labeling of the article failed to bear such adequate warnings against use in those pathological conditions or by children where its use may be dangerous to health, and against unsafe dosage and duration of administration, in such manner and form, as are necessary for the protection of users.

DISPOSITION: August 31, 1951. Default decree of condemnation and destruction.

3585. Misbranding of male hormone with vitamin B_1. U. S. v. 35 Packages, etc. (F. D. C. No. 31383. Sample No. 1214–L.)

LIBEL FILED: On or about August 13, 1951, Northern District of Georgia.

ALLEGED SHIPMENT: On or about October 16 and December 8, 1950, and February 16 and March 8, 1951, by the Hudson Products Co., from Long Beach, Calif.

PRODUCT: 35 30-tablet packages and 19 60-tablet packages of *male hormone with vitamin B_1* at Atlanta, Ga.